

ALGER

FRED ALGER MANAGEMENT, LLC
WEATHERBIE CAPITAL, LLC

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Effective as of
May 2023

Purpose

Rule 206(4)-6 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the “Advisers Act”) requires registered investment advisers, who have discretionary authority to vote the proxies held in their clients’ accounts to

- (1) adopt and implement written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that they vote proxies in the best interests of their clients;
- (2) describe their proxy voting policies and procedures to their clients and upon request, provide copies of such policies and procedures; and
- (3) disclose to their clients how they may obtain information on how the investment adviser voted their proxies.

Rule 204-2 of the Advisers Act requires, among other things, that registered investment advisers maintain records of its proxy voting policies and procedures; proxy statements received; votes cast on behalf of clients; client requests for proxy voting information; and documents prepared by the investment adviser that were material to making a voting decision.

Scope

This policy applies to Fred Alger Management, LLC (“FAM”) and Weatherbie Capital, LLC (“WC” and together with FAM, “Alger”), each an investment adviser registered under the Advisers Act, to ensure that proxies are voted in their clients’ best interests.

Procedures for Implementation

Alger’s Client and Portfolio Administration group is responsible for supervising the proxy voting process, which includes

- (1) establishing new clients in the proxy voting process;
- (2) determining the accounts for which Alger has proxy voting responsibilities; and
- (3) maintaining appropriate proxy voting policies and procedures, as well as records.

Alger receives and considers the recommendations of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (“ISS”). Alger’s Client and Portfolio Administration group ensures that ISS can vote the proxies of Alger’s clients prior to investing the client assets. Alger provides notification to ISS stating the

ISS proxy voting guideline to be used. Alger also instructs the client's custodian to forward all proxy ballots and notices to ISS.

Alger accesses ISS's proxy voting through a website that identifies when a proxy vote is due, provides an analysis of each proxy proposal, and indicates how ISS intends to vote the proxy based on its proxy policies. Alger's Client and Portfolio Administration group monitors ISS by reviewing upcoming shareholder meetings through this website.

ISS issues voting recommendations based on pre-determined voting guidelines intended to vote proxies in the clients' best interests. ISS has developed a variety of different "pre-determined" recommendations based on a client's or adviser's particular objectives. Currently, in the absence of client specific direction, Alger has instructed ISS to base its recommendations from its Socially Responsible Investment Proxy Voting Guidelines. For clients of Alger who are Taft Hartley plans, Alger instructs ISS to base its recommendations from its Taft Hartley Proxy Voting Guidelines if requested by the client. Clients may have their own specific proxy voting guidelines. For such clients, Alger requests ISS to vote proxies based on the clients' instructions. Clients may also advise Alger that they will vote proxies for their accounts. For such clients, Alger takes no action with respect to proxy voting.

If a country's laws allow a company to block the sale of shares in advance of a shareholder meeting, Alger will generally not vote in the shareholder meetings held in that country, unless the company represents that it will not block the sale of its shares in connection with the meeting. Although Alger considers proxy voting to be an important shareholder right, Alger will generally not impede its ability to trade in a stock to vote at a shareholder meeting.

An Alger Portfolio Manager or Analyst may desire to override ISS's voting recommendation. Such override recommendation must be submitted in writing to FAM's or WC's Chief Investment Officer ("CIO"), as applicable, outlining the reasons for the override and confirming that the Analyst or Portfolio Manager has no conflict of interest in connection with the recommendation to override ISS' recommendation. If the CIO agrees with the override, the recommendation is sent to the Client and Portfolio Administration group which will notify ISS of Alger's override vote. If a conflict does exist, the General Counsel reviews the matter with the CIO and jointly determine how to cast the vote. All such determinations are documented by Alger's Client and Portfolio Administration group and presented quarterly to Alger's Compliance & Controls Committee.

Daily, Alger's Client and Portfolio Administration group monitors Proxy Alert notifications received from ISS. FAM will review any Proxy Alerts related to material changes or additional information, including errors, to assess if the ISS vote was in compliance with FAM's voting policy.

On a monthly basis, Client and Portfolio Administration group sends a notice of upcoming shareholder meetings to the Alger Analysts for their review.

On a quarterly basis, Alger's Client and Portfolio Administration group verifies that proxies for the previous quarter were voted in accordance with Alger's policies, procedures, and guidelines. Alger randomly selects one issuer's voted proxy and one issuer's prepopulated votes for an upcoming meeting. Alger reviews a sample of the proxy items to ensure that the ISS votes are in

compliance with Alger's proxy voting policy for each client that hold the security. A certification from ISS and the result of the sampling is presented to Alger's Compliance & Controls Committee reporting the voting activity from the previous quarter.

Alger or ISS, on Alger's behalf, maintains records of proxy statements received, votes cast on behalf of clients, client requests for proxy voting information, and documents prepared by the respective investment adviser that were material to making a voting decision. Such records are maintained in an easily accessible place for a period of not less than 5 years in an appropriate office of Alger or ISS. In the event that ISS maintains such records, ISS provides such records to Alger promptly upon Alger's request.

Conflicts of Interest

When issuing vote recommendations and casting proxy votes in accordance with its pre-determined proxy voting guidelines, ISS also discloses any conflicts of interest it has with the issuer of such securities that are the subject of its recommendation. To the extent ISS has a material conflict of interest with the company whose proxies are at issue, it may recuse itself from voting proxies. In such cases, Alger instructs ISS how to vote. When ISS does not recuse itself, but still discloses a conflict, Alger reviews ISS's disclosure regarding such conflict. When such relationship involves a payment to ISS of \$250,000 or more,

Alger reviews ISS's voting to ensure adherence to the pre-determined proxy voting guidelines and considers whether ISS's recommendation is in its clients' best interests.

Moreover, Alger regularly considers the robustness of ISS's policies and procedures regarding its ability to (i) ensure that its proxy voting recommendations are based on current and accurate information and (ii) identify and address any conflicts of interest.

Client Disclosure

Alger provides its clients with a general description of its proxy guidelines. Such description of its proxy voting guidelines can be found in Alger's Form ADV and in Appendix A below. For mutual fund shareholders, a description of its proxy voting guidelines can be found in the Statement of Additional information. Further, Alger informs clients, upon request, of Alger's actual proxy voting policies and procedures, and how Alger voted their proxies. Client and Portfolio Administration maintains this policy online at www.alger.com.

How to Obtain Further Information

For mutual fund shareholders, Alger's voting record is available at www.alger.com. For separate accounts clients, please contact your Client Service Manager (212) 806-8800.

Appendix A

SRI Advisory Services Proxy Voting Policy Statement and Guidelines

ISS' Social Advisory Services division recognizes that socially responsible investors have dual objectives: financial and social. Socially responsible investors invest for economic gain, as do all investors, but they also require that the companies in which they invest conduct their business in a socially and environmentally responsible manner.

These dual objectives carry through to socially responsible investors' proxy voting activity once the security selection process is completed. In voting their shares, socially responsible institutional shareholders are concerned not only with sustainable economic returns to shareholders and good corporate governance but also with the ethical behavior of corporations and the social and environmental impact of their actions.

Social Advisory Services has, therefore, developed proxy voting guidelines that are consistent with the dual objectives of socially responsible shareholders. On matters of social and environmental import, the guidelines seek to reflect a broad consensus of the socially responsible investing community. Generally, we take as our frame of reference policies that have been developed by groups such as the Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility, the General Board of Pension and Health Benefits of the United Methodist Church, Domini Social Investments, and other leading church shareholders and socially responsible mutual fund companies. Additionally, we incorporate the active ownership and investment philosophies of leading globally recognized initiatives such as the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI), the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI), the United Nations Global Compact, and environmental and social European Union Directives.

On matters of corporate governance, executive compensation, and corporate structure, Social Advisory Services guidelines are based on a commitment to create and preserve economic value and to advance principles of good corporate governance consistent with responsibilities to society as a whole.

The guidelines provide an overview of how Social Advisory Services recommends that its clients vote. We note that there may be cases in which the final vote recommendation on a particular company varies from the vote guideline due to the fact that we closely examine the merits of each proposal and consider relevant information and company-specific circumstances in arriving at our decisions. Where Social Advisory Services acts as voting agent for its clients, it follows each client's voting policy, which may differ in some cases from the policies outlined in this document. Social Advisory Services updates its guidelines on an annual basis to take into account emerging issues and trends on environmental, social, and corporate governance topics, in addition to evolving market standards, regulatory changes, and client feedback.

Taft-Hartley Advisory Services Proxy Voting Policy Statement and Guidelines

The proxy voting policy of ISS' Taft-Hartley Advisory Services is based upon the AFL-CIO Proxy Voting Guidelines, which comply with all the fiduciary standards delineated by the U.S. Department of Labor.

Taft-Hartley client accounts are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). ERISA sets forth the tenets under which pension fund assets must be managed and invested. Proxy voting rights have been declared by the Department of Labor to be valuable plan assets and therefore must be exercised in accordance with the fiduciary duties of loyalty and prudence. The duty of loyalty requires that the voting fiduciary exercise proxy voting authority solely in the economic interest of participants and plan beneficiaries. The duty of prudence requires that decisions be made based on financial criteria and that a clear process exists for evaluating proxy issues.

The Taft-Hartley Advisory Services voting policy was carefully crafted to meet those requirements by promoting long-term shareholder value, emphasizing the “economic best interests” of plan participants and beneficiaries. Taft-Hartley Advisory Services will assess the short-term and long-term impact of a vote and will promote a position that is consistent with the long-term economic best interests of plan members embodied in the principle of a “worker-owner view of value.”

The Taft-Hartley Advisory Services guidelines address a broad range of issues, including election of directors, executive compensation, proxy contests, auditor ratification, and tender offer defenses – all significant voting items that affect long-term shareholder value. In addition, these guidelines delve deeper into workplace issues that may have an impact on corporate performance, including:

- Corporate policies that affect job security and wage levels;
- Corporate policies that affect local economic development and stability;
- Corporate responsibility to employees, communities and the environment; and
- Workplace safety and health issues.

Taft-Hartley Advisory Services shall analyze each proxy on a case-by-case basis, informed by the guidelines outlined in the following pages. Taft-Hartley Advisory Services does not intend for these guidelines to be exhaustive. It is neither practical nor productive to fashion voting guidelines and policies which attempt to address every eventuality. Rather, Taft-Hartley Advisory Services' guidelines are intended to cover the most significant and frequent proxy issues that arise. Issues not covered by the guidelines shall be voted in the interest of plan participants and beneficiaries of the plan based on a worker-owner view of long-term corporate value. Taft-Hartley Advisory Services shall revise its guidelines as events warrant and will remain in conformity with the AFL-CIO proxy voting policy.